## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA NEWNAN DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF: : CASE NUMBERS

:

MICHAEL E. SUDDETH, : BANKRUPTCY CASE

NO. 04-17273-WHD

Debtor.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ROBERT CARDEN, : ADVERSARY PROCEEDING

NO. 04-1050

Plaintiff,

:

v. :

:

MICHAEL E. SUDDETH, : IN PROCEEDINGS UNDER

CHAPTER 7 OF THE

Defendant. : BANKRUPTCY CODE

## ORDER

Before the Court is the Motion for Judgement on the Pleadings filed by Robert Carden (hereinafter the "Plaintiff"). The Plaintiff seeks a judgment against Michael E. Suddeth (hereinafter the "Debtor") on a complaint to determine dischargeability of a particular debt. This matter constitutes a core proceeding, over which this Court has subject matter jurisdiction. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(I).

The Plaintiff filed its complaint on September 3, 2004. The Debtor filed no responsive pleading. On April 5, 2005, the Plaintiff filed the instant motion for judgment on the pleadings, essentially asserting that Plaintiff is entitled to judgment because the Debtor failed to file an answer.

In order to enter a judgment against a defendant, the Court must first find that the

defendant has been properly served. To perfect service, the Plaintiff must serve the defendant/debtor with a copy of the complaint and summons by first class mail or by another method prescribed. See FED. R. BANKR. P. 7004(b)(9). Perfection of service upon the Debtor is required before this Court can adjudicate the Debtor's rights. See Combs v. Nick Garin Trucking, 825 F.2d 437, 442 (D.C. Cir. 1987). Without evidence that the defendant has been served properly, any in personam judgment entered against the defendant is void. See Attwell v. LaSalle Nat'l Bank, 607 F.2d 1157, 1159 (5th Cir. 1979).

According to the certificate of service filed by the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff did not serve the Debtor personally. Service upon the Debtor's attorney is not sufficient to initiate an adversary proceeding. Additionally, the Debtor has filed a request seeking to have the entry of default vacated and has filed an answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint. Because service was not properly perfected and the Debtor has now filed a responsive pleading, the Court will grant the Debtor's request.

The Plaintiff's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings is hereby **DENIED**. The Debtor's Motion to Reopen Default is hereby **GRANTED**. The Debtor's Answer shall be considered to have been timely filed.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

At Newnan Georgia, this \_\_\_\_ day of April, 2005.

W. HOMER DRAKE, JR.

UNITED	STATE	ES BA	NKRI	IPTCY	IIID	GF